

DCP 313 Working Group Meeting 10

12 October 2018 at 10:00am

Web-Conference

| Attendee | Company |
|--|--------------------|
| Working Group Members | |
| Alessandra De Zottis [ADZ] | UK Power Reserve |
| Andrew Enzor [AE] | Northern Powergrid |
| Chris Ong [CO] | UK Power Networks |
| Dave Wornell [DW] | WPD |
| Code Administrator | |
| John Lawton [JL] (Chair) | ElectraLink |
| Hollie Nicholls [HN] (technical secretariat) | ElectraLink |

| Apologies | Company |
|---------------|------------|
| Anika Brandt | SSEN |
| Julia Haughey | EDF Energy |
| Kathryn Evans | SPEN |
| Simon Yeo | WPD |

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes from the previous meeting, held on 11 September 2018 and agreed that they were a true reflection of the discussions held.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting is to review the impact assessment report received from TNEI and to draft any comments or questions that the Working Group need addressing before the second consultation document can be updated with the impact assessment results.

3. Review of DCP 313 TNEI Impact Assessment Report

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the impact assessment report (attachment 1) and the collated comments and questions (attachment 2) that were circulated before the meeting.
- 3.2 The Working Group agreed that the report provides impact assessments for both solution options for the Change Proposal (CP) and there were useful sections that can be pulled into the consultation document. The Working Group decided that the summaries should be included, however, the Working Group have had to request a LRIC summary as this is not currently included. Therefore, it would be beneficial to wait for the amended report to be received before including in the consultation document.
- 3.3 The Working Group reviewed each of the comments and questions and agreed that it would be helpful to recirculate to Working Group members for a final review. Therefore, the Working Group agreed to review the report and send any further comments and questions to the Secretariat before close of play on Tuesday 16 October 2018. The Secretariat will then forward the document to TNEI on behalf of the Working Group preferably on Wednesday before the deadline day (Friday 19 October).

ACTION 10/01: All WG Members and ElectraLink

- 3.4 The Working Group then reviewed the draft consultation document. AE agreed to review paragraphs 3.12 and 4.41 and update these to ensure that they are in the most appropriate sections of the consultation document and that they contain the correct information.

ACTION 10/02: AE

- 3.5 Once the amended impact assessment report is received, the Working Group agreed to review the report and highlight to the Secretariat any areas that should be included within the consultation document.

ACTION 10/03: WG Members

4. Work Plan and Next Steps

- 4.1 The Working Group agreed the following next steps:
- The Secretariat to circulate the updated comments and questions document to Working Group members by close of play on Friday 12 October 2018;

- Working Group members to feed back any final comments on the TNEI report by close of play on Tuesday 16 October 2018;
- The Secretariat to forward the collated comments and questions to TNEI on Wednesday 17 October 2018;
- Once the updated TNEI report is received, Working Group members to review and highlight any sections that need to be included within the consultation document.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 One Working Group member questioned whether the Working Group should consider reviewing how demand sites are treated as there seems to be a gap in the legal text in this area and can be reviewed under the scope of this change. Currently, demand sites are not assigned but their credit is determined using the F Factor.
- 5.2 The Working Group agreed that this should be considered under the vires of this CP and the proposer agreed to write the scenario down and consider what the legal text obliges the DNOs to currently do so that the Working Group can determine how the legal text should be updated and where the consultation document should be updated to reflect this.

ACTION 10/04: AE

- 5.3 The DNO members of the Working Group agreed to circulate how they currently treat these sites and the Secretariat agreed to request this information from the DNO area that do not have a Working Group representative.

ACTION 10/05: DNO WG Members/ElectraLink

- 5.4 There were no further items of AOB and the Chair closed the meeting.

6. Date of Next Meeting – TBD

- 6.1 The Working Group agreed that the next Working Group meeting will need to be organised once the updated TNEI report has been received back.

7. Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 313 TNEI Impact Assessment Report
- Attachment 2 – DCP 313 Working Group Collated Comments and Questions for TNEI

New and open actions

| Action Ref. | Action | Owner | Update |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|--------|
| 10/01 | All Working Group members to review the TNEI report and send any final comments/questions to the Secretariat before close of play on Tuesday 16 October 2018. ElectraLink to then forward the collated comments document to TNEI for their consideration. | WG Members and ElectraLink | |
| 10/02 | AE to review paragraphs 3.12 and 4.41 of the consultation document and update these to ensure that they are in the most appropriate sections of the consultation document and that they contain the correct information | Andrew Enzor | |
| 10/03 | Once the updated impact assessment report is received from TNEI, the Working Group to review and highlight to any areas that should be included within the consultation document | WG Members | |
| 10/04 | AE to write the demand dominated sites scenario down and consider what the legal text obliges the DNOs to currently do so that the Working Group can determine how the legal text should be updated and where the consultation should be updated to reflect this | Andrew Enzor | |
| 10/05 | DNO members of the Working Group to circulate how they currently treat the demand dominated sites and the Secretariat to request this information from the DNO area that do not have a Working Group representative | DNO WG Members/ElectraLink | |

Closed actions

| Action Ref. | Action | Owner | Update |
|-------------|---|-------------|---------------|
| 09/01 | The Secretariat to update the second consultation document to reflect the discussions held and circulate to Working Group members alongside meeting minutes | ElectraLink | Action Closed |
| 09/02 | The Secretariat to chase TNEI to request an indicative date for when the Working Group could expect to receive the impact assessment report | ElectraLink | Action Closed |